Introduction to Nonlinear Control

Stability, control design, and estimation

Christopher M. Kellett & Philipp Braun School of Engineering, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

Part IV: Chapter 17: The Extended Kalman Filter 17.1 Extended Kalman Filter (Continuous Time) 17.2 Extended Kalman Filter (Discrete Time) 17.3 Moving Horizon Estimation

The Extended Kalman Filter

Nonlinear Systems - Fundamentals

¹ [Extended Kalman Filter \(Continuous time\)](#page-3-0)

Section 1

[Extended Kalman Filter \(Continuous time\)](#page-3-0)

Consider (nonlinear) system with (nonlinear) output:

$$
\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)), \qquad x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n
$$

$$
y(t) = h(x(t)).
$$

Assumptions & Notations:

- state $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; input $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$; measured output $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$
- \bullet f : $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ arbitrarily often continuously differentiable by assumption.
- For cont. $u(\cdot)$, $f(x, u(t))$ satisfies

 $A(t) = \left[\frac{\partial f(x(t),u(t))}{\partial x}\right]_{x=0}; \quad \lim_{|x| \to 0} \sup_{t \ge 0}$ $\frac{|f(t,x)-A(t)x|}{|x|} = 0$

 \rightarrow 'The linearization of f makes sense'

Observer dynamics:

 $\dot{\hat{x}}(t) = f(\hat{x}(t), u(t)) + L(t)(y(t) - h(\hat{x}(t)))$

- \bullet $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$: estimated state
- \bullet $L : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ represents a time-dependent output injection term

Consider (nonlinear) system with (nonlinear) output:

$$
\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)), \qquad x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n
$$

$$
y(t) = h(x(t)).
$$

Assumptions & Notations:

- state $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; input $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$; measured output $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$
- \bullet f : $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ arbitrarily often continuously differentiable by assumption.
- For cont. $u(\cdot)$, $f(x, u(t))$ satisfies

 $A(t) = \left[\frac{\partial f(x(t),u(t))}{\partial x}\right]_{x=0}; \quad \lim_{|x| \to 0} \sup_{t \ge 0}$ $\frac{|f(t,x)-A(t)x|}{|x|} = 0$

 \rightarrow 'The linearization of f makes sense'

Observer dynamics:

 $\dot{\hat{x}}(t) = f(\hat{x}(t), u(t)) + L(t)(y(t) - h(\hat{x}(t)))$

- \bullet $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$: estimated state
- \bullet $L : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ represents a time-dependent output injection term

Observer design:

 \bullet Error $e = x - \hat{x}$ and error dynamics

$$
\dot{e} = f(x,u) - f(\hat{x},u) - L(t)(h(x)-h(\hat{x}))
$$

 \bullet Define (time-varying linearization in (\hat{x}, u))

$$
A(t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t), u(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad C(t) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t))
$$

Consider (nonlinear) system with (nonlinear) output:

$$
\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)), \qquad x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n
$$

$$
y(t) = h(x(t)).
$$

Assumptions & Notations:

- state $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; input $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$; measured output $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$
- \bullet f : $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ arbitrarily often continuously differentiable by assumption.
- For cont. $u(\cdot)$, $f(x, u(t))$ satisfies

 $A(t) = \left[\frac{\partial f(x(t),u(t))}{\partial x}\right]_{x=0}; \quad \lim_{|x| \to 0} \sup_{t \ge 0}$ $\frac{|f(t,x)-A(t)x|}{|x|} = 0$

 \rightarrow 'The linearization of f makes sense'

Observer dynamics:

$$
\dot{\hat{x}}(t) = f(\hat{x}(t), u(t)) + L(t)(y(t) - h(\hat{x}(t)))
$$

- \bullet $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$: estimated state
- \bullet $L : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ represents a time-dependent output injection term

Observer design:

 \bullet Error $e = x - \hat{x}$ and error dynamics

$$
\dot{e} = f(x,u) - f(\hat{x},u) - L(t)(h(x)-h(\hat{x}))
$$

 \bullet Define (time-varying linearization in (\hat{x}, u))

$$
A(t)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t),u(t))\qquad\text{and}\qquad C(t)=\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t))
$$

Adding and subtracting $(A(t) - L(t)C(t))e$ we obtain $\dot{e} = (A(t) - L(t)C(t))e + \Delta(e, x, u)$ (1)

where
$$
\Delta(e, x, u) = f(x, u) - f(\hat{x}, u) - A(t)e
$$

$$
- L(t)(h(x) - h(\hat{x}) - C(t)e)
$$

 \bullet Note that: $f(e + \hat{x}, u) - f(\hat{x}, u) = 0$ for $e = 0$ and

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial e}(f(x, u) - f(\hat{x}, u))\Big|_{e=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial e}(f(e + \hat{x}, u) - f(\hat{x}, u))\Big|_{e=0}
$$

$$
= \frac{\partial}{\partial e}(e + \hat{x}, u)\Big|_{e=0} = A(t)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial e}(h(x) - h(\hat{x}))\Big|_{e=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial e}(e + \hat{x})\Big|_{e=0} = C(t)
$$

 \rightarrow [\(1\)](#page-4-0) represents Taylor approximation at $e = 0$ w.r.t. \hat{x}

Derivation of a time-dependent injection gain $L(t)$:

• Consider $P(t) > 0$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$
\alpha_1 I \le P(t) \le \alpha_2 I, \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_2} I \le P^{-1}(t) \le \frac{1}{\alpha_1} I \quad \forall t
$$

○ Candidate Lyapunov function $V : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$

 $V(e(t)) = e(t)^T P^{-1}(t)e(t)$

Derivation of a time-dependent injection gain $L(t)$:

• Consider $P(t) > 0$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$
\alpha_1 I \le P(t) \le \alpha_2 I, \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_2} I \le P^{-1}(t) \le \frac{1}{\alpha_1} I \quad \forall t
$$

● Candidate Lyapunov function $V : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$

 $V(e(t)) = e(t)^T P^{-1}(t)e(t)$

Lemma

 $Consider P: \mathbb{R} \to S^n_{>0}$ cont. diff. Then

$$
\dot{P}^{-1}(t) = -P^{-1}(t)\dot{P}(t)P^{-1}(t).
$$

Proof.

With $I = P(t)P^{-1}(t) = P^{-1}(t)P(t)$ it holds that $\dot{P}^{-1} = \frac{d}{dt} (P^{-1}PP^{-1})$ $= \dot{P}^{-1}PP^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1} + P^{-1}P\dot{P}^{-1}$ $= 2\dot{P}^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1}$

 \Box

Derivation of a time-dependent injection gain $L(t)$:

• Consider $P(t) > 0$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$
\alpha_1 I \le P(t) \le \alpha_2 I, \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_2} I \le P^{-1}(t) \le \frac{1}{\alpha_1} I \quad \forall t
$$

$$
\quad \bullet \ \ \textrm{Candidate Lyapunov function} \ V: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}
$$

 $V(e(t)) = e(t)^T P^{-1}(t)e(t)$

Derivative of the candidate Lyapunov function:

$$
\dot{V}(e) = \dot{e}^T P^{-1} e + e^T \dot{P}^{-1} e + e^T P^{-1} \dot{e}
$$

= $((A - LC)e + \Delta)^T P^{-1} e + e^T P^{-1} ((A - LC)e + \Delta) - e^T P^{-1} \dot{P} P^{-1} e$
= $e^T P^{-1} (P(A - LC)^T + (A - LC)P - \dot{P}) P^{-1} e + 2e^T P^{-1} \Delta$

Lemma

 $Consider P: \mathbb{R} \to S^n_{>0}$ cont. diff. Then

$$
\dot{P}^{-1}(t) = -P^{-1}(t)\dot{P}(t)P^{-1}(t).
$$

Proof.

With $I = P(t)P^{-1}(t) = P^{-1}(t)P(t)$ it holds that $\dot{P}^{-1} = \frac{d}{dt} (P^{-1}PP^{-1})$ $= \dot{P}^{-1}PP^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1} + P^{-1}P\dot{P}^{-1}$ $= 2\dot{P}^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1}$

 \Box

Derivation of a time-dependent injection gain $L(t)$:

• Consider $P(t) > 0$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$
\alpha_1 I \le P(t) \le \alpha_2 I, \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_2} I \le P^{-1}(t) \le \frac{1}{\alpha_1} I \quad \forall t
$$

• Candidate Lyapunov function
$$
V : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}
$$

 $V(e(t)) = e(t)^T P^{-1}(t)e(t)$

Lemma

 $Consider P: \mathbb{R} \to S^n_{>0}$ cont. diff. Then $\dot{P}^{-1}(t) = -P^{-1}(t)\dot{P}(t)P^{-1}(t).$

Proof.

With $I = P(t)P^{-1}(t) = P^{-1}(t)P(t)$ it holds that $\dot{P}^{-1} = \frac{d}{dt} (P^{-1}PP^{-1})$ $= \dot{P}^{-1}PP^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1} + P^{-1}P\dot{P}^{-1}$ $= 2\dot{P}^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1}$

Derivative of the candidate Lyapunov function:

 $V(e) = e^T P^{-1} e + e^T P^{-1} e + e^T P^{-1} e$ $=((A - LC)e + \Delta)^{T}P^{-1}e + e^{T}P^{-1}((A - LC)e + \Delta) - e^{T}P^{-1}PP^{-1}e$ $=e^T P^{-1} (P(A-LC)^T + (A-LC)P - P) P^{-1} e + 2e^T P^{-1} \Delta$ Select $L(t) = P(t)C(t)^TQ$ for $Q > 0$. Then: $\dot{V}(e) = e^T P^{-1} (P A^T + A P - 2 P C^T Q C P - P) P^{-1} e + 2 e^T P^{-1} \Delta$

 \Box

Derivation of a time-dependent injection gain $L(t)$:

• Consider $P(t) > 0$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$
\alpha_1 I \le P(t) \le \alpha_2 I, \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_2} I \le P^{-1}(t) \le \frac{1}{\alpha_1} I \quad \forall t
$$

$$
\quad \bullet \ \ \text{Candidate Lyapunov function} \ V: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}
$$

 $V(e(t)) = e(t)^T P^{-1}(t)e(t)$

Lemma

 $Consider P: \mathbb{R} \to S^n_{>0}$ cont. diff. Then $\dot{P}^{-1}(t) = -P^{-1}(t)\dot{P}(t)P^{-1}(t).$

Proof.

With $I = P(t)P^{-1}(t) = P^{-1}(t)P(t)$ it holds that $\dot{P}^{-1} = \frac{d}{dt} (P^{-1}PP^{-1})$ $= \dot{P}^{-1}PP^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1} + P^{-1}P\dot{P}^{-1}$ $= 2\dot{P}^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1}$

Derivative of the candidate Lyapunov function:

 $V(e) = e^T P^{-1} e + e^T P^{-1} e + e^T P^{-1} e$ $=((A - LC)e + \Delta)^{T}P^{-1}e + e^{T}P^{-1}((A - LC)e + \Delta) - e^{T}P^{-1}PP^{-1}e$ $=e^T P^{-1} (P(A-LC)^T + (A-LC)P - P) P^{-1} e + 2e^T P^{-1} \Delta$ Select $L(t) = P(t)C(t)^TQ$ for $Q > 0$. Then: $\dot{V}(e) = e^T P^{-1} (P A^T + A P - 2 P C^T Q C P - P) P^{-1} e + 2 e^T P^{-1} \Delta$ If $P(t)$ sat. differential Riccati equation $(P(t_0) \in S_{>0}^n, R \in S_{>0}^n)$ $\dot{P}(t) = P(t)A(t)^{T} + A(t)P(t) - P(t)C(t)^{T}QC(t)P(t) + R^{-1}$ then

$$
\dot{V}(e) = -e^T P^{-1} \left(P C^T Q C P + R^{-1} \right) P^{-1} e + 2e^T P^{-1} \Delta
$$

\$\sim \dot{V}(e) \le 0\$ for *e* small enough

□

Derivation of a time-dependent injection gain $L(t)$:

• Consider $P(t) > 0$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$
\alpha_1 I \le P(t) \le \alpha_2 I, \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_2} I \le P^{-1}(t) \le \frac{1}{\alpha_1} I \quad \forall t
$$

$$
\quad \bullet \ \ \text{Candidate Lyapunov function} \ V: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}
$$

 $V(e(t)) = e(t)^T P^{-1}(t)e(t)$

Lemma

 $Consider P: \mathbb{R} \to S^n_{>0}$ cont. diff. Then $\dot{P}^{-1}(t) = -P^{-1}(t)\dot{P}(t)P^{-1}(t).$

Proof.

With
$$
I = P(t)P^{-1}(t) = P^{-1}(t)P(t)
$$
 it holds that
\n
$$
\dot{P}^{-1} = \frac{d}{dt} (P^{-1}PP^{-1})
$$
\n
$$
= \dot{P}^{-1}PP^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1} + P^{-1}P\dot{P}^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
= 2\dot{P}^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1}
$$

Derivative of the candidate Lyapunov function: $V(e) = e^T P^{-1} e + e^T P^{-1} e + e^T P^{-1} e$ $=((A - LC)e + \Delta)^{T}P^{-1}e + e^{T}P^{-1}((A - LC)e + \Delta) - e^{T}P^{-1}PP^{-1}e$ $=e^T P^{-1} (P(A-LC)^T + (A-LC)P - P) P^{-1} e + 2e^T P^{-1} \Delta$ Select $L(t) = P(t)C(t)^TQ$ for $Q > 0$. Then: $\dot{V}(e) = e^T P^{-1} (P A^T + A P - 2 P C^T Q C P - P) P^{-1} e + 2 e^T P^{-1} \Delta$ If $P(t)$ sat. differential Riccati equation $(P(t_0) \in S_{>0}^n, R \in S_{>0}^n)$ $\dot{P}(t) = P(t)A(t)^{T} + A(t)P(t) - P(t)C(t)^{T}QC(t)P(t) + R^{-1}$ then $\dot{V}(e) = -e^T P^{-1} (PC^T Q C P + R^{-1}) P^{-1} e + 2e^T P^{-1} \Delta$

$$
\leadsto \dot{V}(e) \leq 0 \text{ for } e \text{ small enough}
$$

Note that:

 \bullet For A, L, C constant also $P(t)$ is constant, i.e.,

$$
\dot{P}(t) = 0 = PA^{T} + AP - PC^{T}QCP + R^{-1}
$$

□

Consider (nonlinear) system with (nonlinear) output:

 $\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)), \quad x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $y(t) = h(x(t)).$

Observer dynamics:

 $\dot{\hat{x}}(t) = f(\hat{x}(t), u(t)) + L(t)(y(t) - h(\hat{x}(t)))$

Error dynamics

 $\dot{e} = f(x, u) - f(\hat{x}, u) - L(t)(h(x) - h(\hat{x}))$

Lemma

Consider the error dynamics and let $R \in S^n_{>0}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{S}_{>0}^p$ be given. Additionally, for $P(t_0) = P_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{>0}^n$, *assume that the solution* $P \cdot \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ *of the Riccati differential equation exists for all* $t > t₀$ *and satisfies bounds for* $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then, for $L(t) = P(t) C(t)^T Q$ *the origin is locally exponentially stable; i.e., there exist* δ , λ , $M > 0$ *such that if* $|e(t_0)| \leq \delta$ *then for all* $t > t_0$.

 $|e(t)| \leq M|e(t_0)|\exp(\lambda(t-t_0)).$

Consider (nonlinear) system with (nonlinear) output:

$$
\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)), \qquad x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n
$$

$$
y(t) = h(x(t)).
$$

Observer dynamics:

$$
\dot{\hat{x}}(t) = f(\hat{x}(t), u(t)) + L(t)(y(t) - h(\hat{x}(t)))
$$

Error dynamics

$$
\dot{e} = f(x, u) - f(\hat{x}, u) - L(t)(h(x) - h(\hat{x}))
$$

Lemma

Consider the error dynamics and let $R \in S^n_{>0}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{S}_{>0}^p$ be given. Additionally, for $P(t_0) = P_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{>0}^n$, *assume that the solution* $P \cdot \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ *of the Riccati differential equation exists for all* $t > t₀$ *and satisfies bounds for* $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then, for $L(t) = P(t) C(t)^T Q$ *the origin is locally exponentially stable; i.e., there exist* δ , λ , $\overline{M} > 0$ *such that if* $|e(t_0)| \leq \delta$ *then for all* $t > t_0$,

 $|e(t)| \leq M|e(t_0)|\exp(\lambda(t-t_0)).$

Note that:

- **•** For nonlinear systems, only local exponential stability $\hat{x}(t) \rightarrow x(t)$ is satisfied
- Equations of the extended Kalman filter:

$$
\dot{\hat{x}}(t) = f(\hat{x}(t), u(t)) \n+ P(t) \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t))\right)^T Q(y(t) - h(\hat{x}(t))) \n\dot{P}(t) = P \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t), u(t))\right)^T + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t), u(t))\right) P \n- P \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t))\right)^T Q \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t))\right) P + R^{-1}
$$

- Initial conditions: $\hat{x}(t_0) = \hat{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $P(t_0) = P_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{>0}^n$
- For given $u : \mathbb{R}_{\geq t_0} \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $y : \mathbb{R}_{\geq t_0} \to \mathbb{R}^p$, the solution provides an approximation of $x(t)$ with guaranteed convergence $\hat{x}(t) \rightarrow x(t)$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ if the assumptions of the Lemma are satisfied.
- \bullet The system of ODEs has to be solved in parallel. $P(t)$ is symmetric, i.e., the matrix equation can be written as an ODE of dimension $n(n + 1)/2$.

Example (Inverted pendulum on a cart)

$$
f(x, u) = \begin{bmatrix} x_3 \\ x_4 \\ \frac{-\overline{J}\overline{c}x_3 - \overline{J}\sin(x_2)x_4^2 - \overline{\gamma}\cos(x_2)x_4 + g\cos(x_2)\sin(x_2) + \overline{J}u}{\overline{M}\overline{J} - \cos^2(x_2)} \\ \frac{-\overline{M}\overline{\gamma}x_4 + \overline{M}g\sin(x_2) - \overline{c}\cos(x_2)x_3 - \cos(x_2)\sin(x_2)x_4^2 + \cos(x_2)u}{\overline{M}\overline{J} - \cos^2(x_2)} \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
h(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

\nPartial derivatives of f :
\n
$$
f
$$
:
\n
$$
f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow f
$$
:
\n
$$
f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow f
$$
:
\n
$$
f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow f
$$
:
\n
$$
f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow f
$$
:
\n
$$
f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow f
$$
:
\n
$$
f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow f
$$
:
\n
$$
f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow f
$$
:
\n
$$
f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow f
$$
:
\n
$$
f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow f
$$
:
\n
$$
f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow f
$$
:
\n
$$
f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow f
$$
:
\n
$$
f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow f
$$

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{cJ}{\cos^2(x_2) - J\bar{M}} \\ \frac{\bar{c}\cos(x_2)}{\cos^2(x_2) - J\bar{M}} \end{bmatrix}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_4} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\bar{\gamma}\cos(x_2) + 2\bar{J}x_4 \sin(x_2)}{\bar{\gamma}\cos^2(x_2) - \bar{J}\bar{M}} \\ \frac{\bar{\gamma}\bar{M} + 2x_4 \cos(x_2) \sin(x_2)}{\cos^2(x_2) - \bar{J}\bar{M}} \end{bmatrix}
$$

∂f ∂x_2 (The expression is too long)

 $(\sim$ Use syms and symbolic differentiation $diff.m$ in Matlab to obtain expressions)

$$
A(t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_4} \end{bmatrix}
$$

Selection of the input

Example (Inverted pendulum on a cart)

f(x, u)= x³ x⁴ [−]J¯cx¯ ³−J¯sin(x2)^x 2 ⁴−γ¯ cos(x2)x4+^g cos(x2) sin(x2)+Ju¯ ^M¯ ^J¯−cos2(x2) [−]M¯ γx¯ 4+Mg ¯ sin(x2)−c¯cos(x2)x3−cos(x2) sin(x2)^x 2 ⁴+cos(x2)^u ^M¯ ^J¯−cos2(x2) ^h(x) = x¹ x² ∂h ∂x = 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 Partial derivatives of f: ∂f ∂x¹ = 0 0 0 0 , ∂f ∂x³ = 1 0 c¯J¯ cos2(x2)−J¯M¯ c¯cos(x2) cos2(x2)−J¯M¯ , ∂f ∂x⁴ = 0 1 ^γ¯ cos(x2)+2Jx¯ 4 sin(x2) cos2(x2)−J¯M¯ ^γ¯M¯ +2x⁴ cos(x2) sin(x2) cos2(x2)−J¯M¯

∂f ∂x_2 (The expression is too long)

 $(\sim$ Use syms and symbolic differentiation $diff.m$ in Matlab to obtain expressions)

$$
A(t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_4} \end{bmatrix}
$$

Selection of the input

$$
u(\hat{x}) = -\hat{x}_3(t) - \hat{x}_4(t).
$$

Example (Inverted pendulum on a cart)

$$
f(x,u)=\begin{bmatrix} x_3\\ \frac{-J\bar{c}x_3-J\sin(x_2)x_4^2-\bar{\gamma}\cos(x_2)x_4+g\cos(x_2)\sin(x_2)+Ju}{\bar{M}J-\cos^2(x_2)}\\ \frac{-\bar{M}\bar{\gamma}x_4+\bar{M}g\sin(x_2)-\bar{c}\cos(x_2)x_3-\cos(x_2)\sin(x_2)x_4^2+\cos(x_2)u}{\bar{M}J-\cos^2(x_2)}\\ \hline\\ h(x)=\begin{bmatrix} x_1\\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \leadsto \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}=\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\\ \text{Partial derivatives of } f:\\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}=\begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}=\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ \frac{\bar{c}J}{\cos^2(x_2)-JM}\\ \frac{\bar{c}J}{\cos^2(x_2)-JM} \end{bmatrix}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_4}=\begin{bmatrix} 0\\ \frac{\bar{\gamma}\cos(x_2)+2\bar{J}x_4\sin(x_2)}{\bar{\gamma}\cos^2(x_2)-JM}\\ \frac{\bar{\gamma}\cos(x_2)\sin(x_2)}{\cos^2(x_2)-JM} \end{bmatrix}\\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}=\cdots \quad \text{(The expression is too long)}\\ (\leadsto \text{Use syms and symbolic differentiation }\det f\text{ .}\text{m in Matlab to obtain expressions)} \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
A(t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_4} \end{bmatrix}
$$

Selection of the input

$$
u(\hat{x}) = -\hat{x}_3(t) - \hat{x}_4(t).
$$

Section 2

[Extended Kalman Filter \(Discrete Time\)](#page-19-0)

Consider: Discrete time system

 $x(k + 1) = f(k, x(k)) + q(k, x(k))v(k)$ $y(k) = h(k, x(k)) + w(k)$

- state $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; measured output $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$; unknown disturbances/noise $(v(k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}^q$; $(w(k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}^p$
- \bullet $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $g = [g_1, \ldots, g_q]$, $g_1, \ldots, g_g : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, and $h : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ are continuously differentiable by assumption.

Note that:

 \bullet $(u(k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}^m$ can be incorporated through the time dependence, i.e.,

$$
f(k, x(k)) = \tilde{f}(x(k), u(k)), g(k, x(k)) = \tilde{g}(x(k), u(k)),
$$

$$
h(k, x(k)) = \tilde{h}(x(k), u(k)),
$$

Consider: Discrete time system

$$
x(k + 1) = f(k, x(k)) + g(k, x(k))v(k)
$$

$$
y(k) = h(k, x(k)) + w(k)
$$

- state $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; measured output $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$; unknown disturbances/noise $(v(k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}^q$; $(w(k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}^p$
- \bullet $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $g = [g_1, \ldots, g_q]$, $g_1, \ldots, g_g : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, and $h : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ are continuously differentiable by assumption.

Note that:

 \bullet $(u(k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}^m$ can be incorporated through the time dependence, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned} f(k,x(k))&=\tilde{f}(x(k),u(k)),\;g(k,x(k))=\tilde{g}(x(k),u(k)),\\ h(k,x(k))&=\tilde{h}(x(k),u(k)), \end{aligned}
$$

Assumptions: (zero mean Gaussian white noise)

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[v(k)v(j)^{T}\right] = \begin{cases} Q^{-1}, & \text{if } k = j \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq j \end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{E}\left[w(k)w(j)^{T}\right] = \begin{cases} R^{-1}, & \text{if } k = j \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq j \end{cases}
$$

 $\forall j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Q \in S^q_{>0}$, $R \in S^p_{>0}$.

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[v(k)w(j)^{T}\right] = 0, \ \mathbf{E}\left[v(k)x_{0}^{T}\right] = 0, \ \mathbf{E}\left[w(k)x_{0}^{T}\right] = 0,
$$

 $\forall j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all initial conditions $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Consider: Discrete time system

$$
x(k + 1) = f(k, x(k)) + g(k, x(k))v(k)
$$

$$
y(k) = h(k, x(k)) + w(k)
$$

- state $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; measured output $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$; unknown disturbances/noise $(v(k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}^q$; $(w(k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}^p$
- \bullet $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $g = [g_1, \ldots, g_q]$, $g_1, \ldots, g_g : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, and $h : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ are continuously differentiable by assumption.

Note that:

 \bullet $(u(k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}^m$ can be incorporated through the time dependence, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned} f(k,x(k)) &= \tilde{f}(x(k),u(k)),\; g(k,x(k)) = \tilde{g}(x(k),u(k)),\\ h(k,x(k)) &= \tilde{h}(x(k),u(k)), \end{aligned}
$$

Assumptions: (zero mean Gaussian white noise)

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[v(k)v(j)^{T}\right] = \begin{cases} Q^{-1}, & \text{if } k = j \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq j \end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{E}\left[w(k)w(j)^{T}\right] = \begin{cases} R^{-1}, & \text{if } k = j \\ 0, & \text{if } k \neq j \end{cases}
$$

$$
\forall j, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } Q \in \mathcal{S}_{>0}^{q}, R \in \mathcal{S}_{>0}^{p}.
$$

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[v(k)w(j)^{T}\right] = 0, \ \mathbf{E}\left[v(k)x_{0}^{T}\right] = 0, \ \mathbf{E}\left[w(k)x_{0}^{T}\right] = 0,
$$

 $\forall j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all initial conditions $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Filter/Observer equations:

$$
\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}(k) &= f(k-1, \hat{x}(k-1)) \\ \hat{x}(k) &= \hat{\chi}(k) + G_k \left(y(k) - h(k, \hat{\chi}(k)) \right). \end{aligned}
$$

Task:

 \bullet How to define the Kalman gain matrix G_k ?

 \bullet Decompose x and y (stochastic/deterministic part)

$$
\hat{x} = \hat{x}_d + \hat{x}_s, \qquad y = \hat{y}_d + \hat{y}_s
$$

• Deterministic dynamics:

$$
\hat{x}_d(k+1) = f(k, \hat{x}_d(k))
$$

$$
\hat{y}_d(k) = h(k, \hat{x}_d(k)).
$$

• Stochastic dynamics:

$$
\hat{x}_s(k+1) = \hat{x}(k+1) - \hat{x}_d(k+1) \n= f(k, \hat{x}_d(k) + \hat{x}_s(k)) + g(k, \hat{x}(k))\hat{v}(k) - f(k, \hat{x}_d(k))
$$

 \bullet Decompose x and y (stochastic/deterministic part)

$$
\hat{x} = \hat{x}_d + \hat{x}_s, \qquad y = \hat{y}_d + \hat{y}_s
$$

O Deterministic dynamics:

$$
\hat{x}_d(k+1) = f(k, \hat{x}_d(k))
$$

$$
\hat{y}_d(k) = h(k, \hat{x}_d(k)).
$$

o Stochastic dynamics:

$$
\hat{x}_s(k+1) = \hat{x}(k+1) - \hat{x}_d(k+1) \n= f(k, \hat{x}_d(k) + \hat{x}_s(k)) + g(k, \hat{x}(k))\hat{v}(k) - f(k, \hat{x}_d(k))
$$

• First order Taylor approximation of $f(k, \cdot)$ around $\hat{x}_d(k)$:

$$
\hat{x}_s(k+1) \approx \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(k,\hat{x}_d(k))\hat{x}_s(k) + g(k,\hat{x}(k))\hat{v}(k)
$$

Taylor approx. of $q(k, \cdot)$ around $\hat{x}_d(k)$ ($\hat{y}_s(k) = y(k) - \hat{y}_d(k)$):

$$
\hat{y}_s(k) \approx h(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}_d(k))\hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k) - h(k, \hat{x}_d(k))
$$

=
$$
\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}_d(k))\hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k)
$$

=
$$
\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, f(k-1, \hat{x}_d(k-1))\hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k)
$$

 \bullet Decompose x and y (stochastic/deterministic part)

$$
\hat{x} = \hat{x}_d + \hat{x}_s, \qquad y = \hat{y}_d + \hat{y}_s
$$

O Deterministic dynamics:

$$
\hat{x}_d(k+1) = f(k, \hat{x}_d(k))
$$

$$
\hat{y}_d(k) = h(k, \hat{x}_d(k)).
$$

- **o** Stochastic dynamics:
	- $\hat{x}_{s}(k+1) = \hat{x}(k+1) \hat{x}_{d}(k+1)$ $= f(k, \hat{x}_d(k) + \hat{x}_s(k)) + q(k, \hat{x}(k))\hat{v}(k) - f(k, \hat{x}_d(k))$
- **•** First order Taylor approximation of $f(k, \cdot)$ around $\hat{x}_d(k)$:

$$
\hat{x}_s(k+1) \approx \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}_d(k))\hat{x}_s(k) + g(k, \hat{x}(k))\hat{v}(k)
$$

Taylor approx. of $q(k, \cdot)$ around $\hat{x}_d(k)$ ($\hat{y}_s(k) = y(k) - \hat{y}_d(k)$):

$$
\hat{y}_s(k) \approx h(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}_d(k))\hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k) - h(k, \hat{x}_d(k))
$$

=
$$
\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}_d(k))\hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k)
$$

=
$$
\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, f(k-1, \hat{x}_d(k-1))\hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k)
$$

- \bullet With $\hat{x}_{s}(k)$ and $\hat{y}_{s}(k)$, we define A, \bar{B} , C: $A(k) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}(k)), \quad \bar{B}(k) = g(k, \hat{x}(k))$ $C(k) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, \hat{\chi}(k))$
- \rightarrow Matrices define a linear time varying system

 \bullet Decompose x and y (stochastic/deterministic part)

$$
\hat{x} = \hat{x}_d + \hat{x}_s, \qquad y = \hat{y}_d + \hat{y}_s
$$

O Deterministic dynamics:

$$
\hat{x}_d(k+1) = f(k, \hat{x}_d(k))
$$

$$
\hat{y}_d(k) = h(k, \hat{x}_d(k)).
$$

o Stochastic dynamics:

$$
\hat{x}_s(k+1) = \hat{x}(k+1) - \hat{x}_d(k+1) \n= f(k, \hat{x}_d(k) + \hat{x}_s(k)) + g(k, \hat{x}(k))\hat{v}(k) - f(k, \hat{x}_d(k))
$$

• First order Taylor approximation of $f(k, \cdot)$ around $\hat{x}_d(k)$:

$$
\hat{x}_s(k+1) \approx \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}_d(k))\hat{x}_s(k) + g(k, \hat{x}(k))\hat{v}(k)
$$

Taylor approx. of $q(k, \cdot)$ around $\hat{x}_d(k)$ ($\hat{y}_s(k) = y(k) - \hat{y}_d(k)$):

$$
\hat{y}_s(k) \approx h(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}_d(k))\hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k) - h(k, \hat{x}_d(k))
$$

=
$$
\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}_d(k))\hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k)
$$

=
$$
\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, f(k-1, \hat{x}_d(k-1))\hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k))
$$

- \bullet With $\hat{x}_{s}(k)$ and $\hat{y}_{s}(k)$, we define A, \bar{B} , C: $A(k) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}(k)), \quad \bar{B}(k) = g(k, \hat{x}(k))$ $C(k) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, \hat{\chi}(k))$
- \rightarrow Matrices define a linear time varying system
- \bullet We adapt the equations of the linear Kalman filter:

$$
\label{eq:3.1} \begin{split} P_k^{k-1}\!\!=\!\!\left[\!\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(k\!-\!1,\hat{x}(k\!-\!1))\!\right]\!\!P_{k-1}\!\!\left[\!\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(k\!-\!1,\hat{x}(k\!-\!1))\!\right]^T \\ +\,g(k-1,\hat{x}(k-1))Q^{-1}g(k-1,\hat{x}(k-1))^T \\ G_k&=P_kk-1\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k,\hat{\chi}(k))\right]^T \\ \cdot\left(\!\left[\!\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k,\hat{\chi}(k))\right]P_k^{k-1}\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k,\hat{\chi}(k))\right]^T+R^{-1}\!\right]^{-1} \\ P_k&=\left(I-G_k\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k,\hat{\chi}(k))\right]\right)P_k^{k-1} \end{split}
$$

Extended Kalman Filter (3)

Input: Discrete time system with output, positive definite weight matrices $Q^{-1} = \text{Var}(v(k))$, $R^{-1} = \text{Var}(w(k))$, and initial estimates $\hat{x}(0) = \hat{x}_0$, $P_0 \in S^n_{>0}$. **Output:** Estimates $\hat{x}(k)$ and $\hat{\chi}(k)$ of the state $x(k)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. **Algorithm:** For $k \in \mathbb{N}$: **1** Compute $\hat{\chi}(k) = f(k-1, \hat{x}(k-1))$

and

$$
A(k-1) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(k-1, \hat{x}(k-1)), \qquad \bar{B}(k-1) = g(k-1, \hat{x}(k-1)), \qquad C(k) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}(k)).
$$

2 Update the gain matrix

$$
P_k^{k-1} = A(k-1)P_{k-1}A(k-1)^T + \bar{B}(k-1)Q^{-1}\bar{B}(k-1)^T
$$

\n
$$
G_k = P_k^{k-1}C(k)^T \left[C(k)P_k^{k-1}C(k)^T + R^{-1} \right]^{-1},
$$

\n
$$
P_k = [I - G_kC(k)] P_k^{k-1}.
$$

 \bullet Measure the output $y(k)$ and update the state estimate

$$
\hat{x}(k) = \hat{\chi}(k) + G_k (y(k) - h(k, \hat{\chi}(k)))
$$

set $k = k + 1$ and go to step 1.

,

Section 3

[Moving Horizon Estimation](#page-28-0)

Moving Horizon Estimation

Recall:

- The dual to LQR is MME (Minimum energy estimator)
- We have discussed MPC as an extension of LQR
- The dual to MPC is MHE (Moving Horizon Estimation) Consider:

 $x(k + 1) = f(k, x(k), v(k))$ $y(k) = h(k, x(k)) + w(k)$

- State $x \in \mathbb{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$; measured output $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$; $(v(k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{V}\subset\mathbb{R}^q$ and unknown disturbances/noise $(w(k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{W}\subset\mathbb{R}^p$
- **Constraints: X, V, W**
- \bullet (Inputs $u(k)$ can be included as before)
- Goal: Based on measured data $y(k)$, find "optimal" $\hat{v}(k)$, $\hat{w}(k)$ such that

 $\hat{x}(k+1) = f(k, \hat{x}(k), \hat{v}(k)).$ $y(k) = h(k, \hat{x}(k)) + \hat{w}(k).$

- \rightarrow optimal state estimates $\hat{x}(k)$
- \bullet Define $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{W}$

At time $k \in N$, for given $y(i)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{[k-\bar{N},k-1]}$, define the set of feasible disturbance trajectories

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\bar{N}}\!\!=\!\!\left\{\!\!v_{\bar{N}}:\mathbb{Z}_{[k-\bar{N},k-1]}\rightarrow\mathbb{R}^q\middle|\begin{matrix} \hat{x}(i+1)=f(i,\hat{x}(i),v(i))\\ y(i)=h(i,\hat{x}(i))+w(i)\\ (\hat{x}(i+1),v(i),w(i))\in\mathbb{D}\\ \forall\ i\in\mathbb{Z}_{[k-\bar{N},k-1]}\end{matrix}\right\}
$$

(Note that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\bar{N}}=\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\bar{N}}(k,y_{\bar{N}})$ depends on k)

- *Cost function*: $\bar{J}_{\bar{N}} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{D}}^N \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\},\$ $\bar{J}_{\bar{N}}(\hat{x}(k - \bar{N}), v_{\bar{N}}(\cdot); y_{\bar{N}}(\cdot))$ $= F_{\bar{N}}(\bar{x}(k - \bar{N})) + \sum_{i=k-N}^{k-1} \ell(v(i), y(i) - h(i, \hat{x}(i)))$
- For given $\hat{x}(k \bar{N}), v(\cdot)$ and $y(\cdot), \hat{x}(\cdot)$ and $w(\cdot)$ are implicitly defined through the dynamics.
- \bullet Costs with respect to disturbance $\ell : \mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}$;
- **O** Costs with respect to estimate of the state $F_{\bar{M}} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ ('terminal costs')

Moving Horizon Estimation (2)

Moving horizon optimization problem:

$$
\bar{V}_{\bar{N}}(k, y_{\bar{N}}(\cdot)) = \min_{\substack{v_{\bar{N}}(\cdot) \in V_{\bar{N}}^{\bar{N}} \\ \hat{x}(k-\bar{N}) \in \mathbb{X}}} \bar{J}_{\bar{N}}(\hat{x}(k-\bar{N}), v_{\bar{N}}(\cdot); y_{\bar{N}(\cdot)})
$$
\n
$$
\text{subject to } \hat{x}(k+1) = f(k, \hat{x}(k), \hat{v}(k))
$$

Note that:

- \bullet Optimal $\hat{v}_{\bar{M}}(\cdot)$ and optimal state estimate $\hat{x}(k \bar{N})$ can be obtained from the solution
- Optimality is achieved w.r.t. a particular cost function.
- From $\hat{v}_{\bar{N}}(\cdot)$ and $\hat{x}(k \bar{N})$ we obtain $\hat{x}(k)$
- \bullet The estimate $\hat{x}(k)$ can be used to design a state feedback $\mu(k) = u(\hat{x}(k))$ through MPC, for example.
- Similar to MPC, after shifting the horizon by going from k to $k + 1$, the shifted optimization problem can be solved at the next time step to obtain $\hat{x}(k+1)$.

Introduction to Nonlinear Control

Stability, control design, and estimation

Christopher M. Kellett & Philipp Braun School of Engineering, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

Part IV: Chapter 17: The Extended Kalman Filter 17.1 Extended Kalman Filter (Continuous Time) 17.2 Extended Kalman Filter (Discrete Time) 17.3 Moving Horizon Estimation

