Introduction to Nonlinear Control

Stability, control design, and estimation

Christopher M. Kellett & Philipp Braun School of Engineering, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

Part IV:

Chapter 17: The Extended Kalman Filter 17.1 Extended Kalman Filter (Continuous Time) 17.2 Extended Kalman Filter (Discrete Time) 17.3 Moving Horizon Estimation



The Extended Kalman Filter



Nonlinear Systems - Fundamentals

Extended Kalman Filter (Continuous time)

2 Extended Kalman Filter (Discrete Time)

3 Moving Horizon Estimation

Section 1

Extended Kalman Filter (Continuous time)

Consider (nonlinear) system with (nonlinear) output:

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}(t) &= f(x(t), u(t)), \qquad x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ y(t) &= h(x(t)). \end{split}$$

Assumptions & Notations:

- state $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; input $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$; measured output $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$
- $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $h: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ arbitrarily often continuously differentiable by assumption.
- For cont. $u(\cdot)$, f(x, u(t)) satisfies

$$A(t) = \left[\frac{\partial f(x(t), u(t))}{\partial x}\right]_{x=0}; \quad \lim_{|x|\to 0} \sup_{t\ge 0} \frac{|f(t, x) - A(t)x|}{|x|} = 0$$

 \rightsquigarrow 'The linearization of f makes sense'

Observer dynamics:

 $\dot{\hat{x}}(t) = f(\hat{x}(t), u(t)) + L(t)(y(t) - h(\hat{x}(t)))$

- $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$: estimated state
- $L:\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\to\mathbb{R}^{n\times p}$ represents a time-dependent output injection term

Consider (nonlinear) system with (nonlinear) output:

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}(t) &= f(x(t), u(t)), \qquad x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ y(t) &= h(x(t)). \end{split}$$

Assumptions & Notations:

- state $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; input $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$; measured output $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$
- $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $h: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ arbitrarily often continuously differentiable by assumption.
- For cont. $u(\cdot)$, f(x, u(t)) satisfies

 $A(t) \!=\! \left[\tfrac{\partial f(x(t), u(t))}{\partial x} \right]_{x=0}; \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \geq 0} \tfrac{|f(t, x) - A(t)x|}{|x|} = 0$

 \rightsquigarrow 'The linearization of f makes sense'

Observer dynamics:

 $\dot{\hat{x}}(t) = f(\hat{x}(t), u(t)) + L(t)(y(t) - h(\hat{x}(t)))$

- $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$: estimated state
- $L: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ represents a time-dependent output injection term

Observer design:

• Error $e = x - \hat{x}$ and error dynamics

$$\dot{e} = f(x,u) - f(\hat{x},u) - L(t)(h(x) - h(\hat{x}))$$

• Define (time-varying linearization in (\hat{x}, u))

$$A(t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t), u(t)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad C(t) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t))$$

Consider (nonlinear) system with (nonlinear) output:

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}(t) &= f(x(t), u(t)), \qquad x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ y(t) &= h(x(t)). \end{split}$$

Assumptions & Notations:

- state $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; input $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$; measured output $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$
- $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $h: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ arbitrarily often continuously differentiable by assumption.
- For cont. $u(\cdot)$, f(x, u(t)) satisfies

$$A(t) = \left[\frac{\partial f(x(t), u(t))}{\partial x}\right]_{x=0}; \quad \lim_{|x|\to 0} \sup_{t\ge 0} \frac{|f(t, x) - A(t)x|}{|x|} = 0$$

 $\rightsquigarrow~$ 'The linearization of f makes sense'

Observer dynamics:

$$\dot{\hat{x}}(t) = f(\hat{x}(t), u(t)) + L(t)(y(t) - h(\hat{x}(t)))$$

- $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$: estimated state
- $L:\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\to\mathbb{R}^{n\times p}$ represents a time-dependent output injection term

Observer design:

• Error $e = x - \hat{x}$ and error dynamics

$$\dot{e} = f(x, u) - f(\hat{x}, u) - L(t)(h(x) - h(\hat{x}))$$

• Define (time-varying linearization in (\hat{x}, u))

$$A(t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t), u(t)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad C(t) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t))$$

• Adding and subtracting (A(t) - L(t)C(t))e we obtain $\dot{e} = (A(t) - L(t)C(t))e + \Delta(e, x, u) \tag{1}$

where
$$\Delta(e,x,u) = f(x,u) - f(\hat{x},u) - A(t)e \\ - L(t)(h(x) - h(\hat{x}) - C(t)e)$$

• Note that: $f(e + \hat{x}, u) - f(\hat{x}, u) = 0$ for e = 0 and

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial e}(f(x,u) - f(\hat{x},u))\big|_{e=0} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial e}(f(e+\hat{x},u) - f(\hat{x},u))\big|_{e=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial e}f(e+\hat{x},u)\big|_{e=0} = A(t) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial e}(h(x) - h(\hat{x}))\big|_{e=0} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial e}h(e+\hat{x})\big|_{e=0} = C(t) \end{split}$$

 $\rightsquigarrow~$ (1) represents Taylor approximation at e=0 w.r.t. \hat{x}

C.M. Kellett & P. Braun (ANU)

Derivation of a time-dependent injection gain L(t):

• Consider P(t) > 0 and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$\alpha_1 I \le P(t) \le \alpha_2 I, \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_2} I \le P^{-1}(t) \le \frac{1}{\alpha_1} I \quad \forall t$$

• Candidate Lyapunov function $V: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$

 $V(e(t)) = e(t)^T P^{-1}(t)e(t)$

Derivation of a time-dependent injection gain L(t):

• Consider P(t) > 0 and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$\alpha_1 I \le P(t) \le \alpha_2 I, \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_2} I \le P^{-1}(t) \le \frac{1}{\alpha_1} I \quad \forall t$$

 $\bullet~$ Candidate Lyapunov function $V:\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^p\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq0}$

 $V(e(t)) = e(t)^T P^{-1}(t)e(t)$

Lemma

Consider $P: \mathbb{R} \to S_{>0}^n$ cont. diff. Then

 $\dot{P}^{-1}(t) = -P^{-1}(t)\dot{P}(t)P^{-1}(t).$

Proof.

With $I = P(t)P^{-1}(t) = P^{-1}(t)P(t)$ it holds that $\dot{P}^{-1} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(P^{-1}PP^{-1}\right)$ $= \dot{P}^{-1}PP^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1} + P^{-1}P\dot{P}^{-1}$ $= 2\dot{P}^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1}$

Derivation of a time-dependent injection gain L(t):

• Consider P(t) > 0 and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$\alpha_1 I \le P(t) \le \alpha_2 I, \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_2} I \le P^{-1}(t) \le \frac{1}{\alpha_1} I \quad \forall$$

$$\bullet~$$
 Candidate Lyapunov function $V:\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^p\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq0}$

 $V(e(t)) = e(t)^T P^{-1}(t)e(t)$

Lemma

Consider $P: \mathbb{R} \to S_{>0}^n$ cont. diff. Then

$$\dot{P}^{-1}(t) = -P^{-1}(t)\dot{P}(t)P^{-1}(t).$$

Proof.

With $I = P(t)P^{-1}(t) = P^{-1}(t)P(t)$ it holds that $\dot{P}^{-1} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(P^{-1}PP^{-1}\right)$ $= \dot{P}^{-1}PP^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1} + P^{-1}P\dot{P}^{-1}$ $= 2\dot{P}^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1}$

Derivative of the candidate Lyapunov function:

$$\dot{V}(e) = \dot{e}^T P^{-1} e + e^T \dot{P}^{-1} e + e^T P^{-1} \dot{e}$$

=((A - LC)e+\Delta)^T P^{-1} e + e^T P^{-1} ((A - LC)e + \Delta) - e^T P^{-1} \dot{P} P^{-1} e
=e^T P^{-1} \left(P(A - LC)^T + (A - LC)P - \dot{P} \right) P^{-1} e + 2e^T P^{-1} \Delta

Derivation of a time-dependent injection gain L(t):

• Consider P(t) > 0 and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$\alpha_1 I \le P(t) \le \alpha_2 I, \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_2} I \le P^{-1}(t) \le \frac{1}{\alpha_1} I \quad \forall t$$

• Candidate Lyapunov function $V: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$

 $V(e(t)) = e(t)^T P^{-1}(t)e(t)$

Lemma

Consider $P:\mathbb{R}\to \mathcal{S}^n_{>0}$ cont. diff. Then $\dot{P}^{-1}(t)=-P^{-1}(t)\dot{P}(t)P^{-1}(t).$

Proof.

With $I = P(t)P^{-1}(t) = P^{-1}(t)P(t)$ it holds that $\dot{P}^{-1} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(P^{-1}PP^{-1}\right)$ $= \dot{P}^{-1}PP^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1} + P^{-1}P\dot{P}^{-1}$ $= 2\dot{P}^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1}$

Derivative of the candidate Lyapunov function:

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}(e) &= \dot{e}^T P^{-1} e + e^T \dot{P}^{-1} e + e^T P^{-1} \dot{e} \\ &= ((A - LC)e + \Delta)^T P^{-1} e + e^T P^{-1} ((A - LC)e + \Delta) - e^T P^{-1} \dot{P} P^{-1} e \\ &= e^T P^{-1} \left(P(A - LC)^T + (A - LC)P - \dot{P} \right) P^{-1} e + 2e^T P^{-1} \Delta \\ &\text{Select } L(t) = P(t)C(t)^T Q \text{ for } Q > 0. \text{ Then:} \\ &\dot{V}(e) = e^T P^{-1} \left(PA^T + AP - 2PC^T QCP - \dot{P} \right) P^{-1} e + 2e^T P^{-1} \Delta \end{split}$$

Derivation of a time-dependent injection gain L(t):

• Consider P(t) > 0 and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$\alpha_1 I \le P(t) \le \alpha_2 I, \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_2} I \le P^{-1}(t) \le \frac{1}{\alpha_1} I \quad \forall t$$

 $\bullet~$ Candidate Lyapunov function $V:\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^p\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq0}$

 $V(e(t)) = e(t)^T P^{-1}(t)e(t)$

Lemma

Consider $P : \mathbb{R} \to S_{>0}^n$ cont. diff. Then $\dot{P}^{-1}(t) = -P^{-1}(t)\dot{P}(t)P^{-1}(t).$

Proof.

With $I = P(t)P^{-1}(t) = P^{-1}(t)P(t)$ it holds that $\dot{P}^{-1} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(P^{-1}PP^{-1}\right)$ $= \dot{P}^{-1}PP^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1} + P^{-1}P\dot{P}^{-1}$ $= 2\dot{P}^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1}$

Derivative of the candidate Lyapunov function:

 $\dot{V}(e) = \dot{e}^T P^{-1} e + e^T \dot{P}^{-1} e + e^T P^{-1} \dot{e}$ $=((A - LC)e + \Delta)^{T}P^{-1}e + e^{T}P^{-1}((A - LC)e + \Delta) - e^{T}P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1}e$ $=e^{T}P^{-1}\left(P(A-LC)^{T}+(A-LC)P-\dot{P}\right)P^{-1}e+2e^{T}P^{-1}\Delta$ Select $L(t) = P(t)C(t)^T Q$ for Q > 0. Then: $\dot{V}(e) = e^T P^{-1} \left(P A^T + A P - 2 P C^T Q C P - \dot{P} \right) P^{-1} e^{-1} \Delta$ If P(t) sat. differential Riccati equation $(P(t_0) \in S_{>0}^n, R \in S_{>0}^n)$ $\dot{P}(t) = P(t)A(t)^{T} + A(t)P(t) - P(t)C(t)^{T}QC(t)P(t) + R^{-1}$ then

$$\dot{V}(e) = -e^T P^{-1} \left(P C^T Q C P + R^{-1} \right) P^{-1} e + 2e^T P^{-1} \Delta$$

 $\rightarrow \dot{V}(e) \leq 0$ for e small enough

~~

Derivation of a time-dependent injection gain L(t):

• Consider P(t) > 0 and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$\alpha_1 I \le P(t) \le \alpha_2 I, \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_2} I \le P^{-1}(t) \le \frac{1}{\alpha_1} I \quad \forall t$$

• Candidate Lyapunov function $V:\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^p\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq0}$

 $V(e(t)) = e(t)^T P^{-1}(t)e(t)$

Lemma

Consider $P : \mathbb{R} \to S_{>0}^n$ cont. diff. Then $\dot{P}^{-1}(t) = -P^{-1}(t)\dot{P}(t)P^{-1}(t).$

Proof.

With $I = P(t)P^{-1}(t) = P^{-1}(t)P(t)$ it holds that $\dot{P}^{-1} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(P^{-1}PP^{-1} \right)$ $= \dot{P}^{-1}PP^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1} + P^{-1}P\dot{P}^{-1}$ $= 2\dot{P}^{-1} + P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1}$

Derivative of the candidate Lyapunov function:

 $\dot{V}(e) = \dot{e}^T P^{-1} e + e^T \dot{P}^{-1} e + e^T P^{-1} \dot{e}$ $=((A - LC)e + \Delta)^{T}P^{-1}e + e^{T}P^{-1}((A - LC)e + \Delta) - e^{T}P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1}e$ $=e^{T}P^{-1}\left(P(A-LC)^{T}+(A-LC)P-\dot{P}\right)P^{-1}e+2e^{T}P^{-1}\Delta$ Select $L(t) = P(t)C(t)^T Q$ for Q > 0. Then: $\dot{V}(e) = e^T P^{-1} \left(P A^T + A P - 2 P C^T Q C P - \dot{P} \right) P^{-1} e^{-1} \Delta$ If P(t) sat. differential Riccati equation $(P(t_0) \in S_{>0}^n, R \in S_{>0}^n)$ $\dot{P}(t) = P(t)A(t)^{T} + A(t)P(t) - P(t)C(t)^{T}QC(t)P(t) + R^{-1}$ then

$$\dot{V}(e) = -e^T P^{-1} \left(P C^T Q C P + R^{-1} \right) P^{-1} e + 2e^T P^{-1} \Delta$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \dot{V}(e) \le 0 \text{ for } e \text{ small enough}$$

Note that:

• For A, L, C constant also P(t) is constant, i.e.,

$$\dot{P}(t) = 0 = PA^T + AP - PC^T QCP + R^{-1}$$

Consider (nonlinear) system with (nonlinear) output:

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}(t) &= f(x(t), u(t)), \qquad x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ y(t) &= h(x(t)). \end{split}$$

Observer dynamics:

 $\dot{\hat{x}}(t) = f(\hat{x}(t), u(t)) + L(t)(y(t) - h(\hat{x}(t)))$

Error dynamics

 $\dot{e} = f(x, u) - f(\hat{x}, u) - L(t)(h(x) - h(\hat{x}))$

Lemma

Consider the error dynamics and let $R \in S_{>0}^n$ and $Q \in S_{>0}^p$ be given. Additionally, for $P(t_0) = P_0 \in S_{>0}^n$, assume that the solution $P : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ of the Riccati differential equation exists for all $t \ge t_0$ and satisfies bounds for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then, for $L(t) = P(t)C(t)^TQ$ the origin is locally exponentially stable; i.e., there exist $\delta, \lambda, M > 0$ such that if $|e(t_0)| \le \delta$ then for all $t \ge t_0$,

 $|e(t)| \le M|e(t_0)|\exp(\lambda(t-t_0)).$

Consider (nonlinear) system with (nonlinear) output:

$$\begin{split} \dot{x}(t) &= f(x(t), u(t)), \qquad x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ y(t) &= h(x(t)). \end{split}$$

Observer dynamics:

$$\dot{\hat{x}}(t) = f(\hat{x}(t), u(t)) + L(t)(y(t) - h(\hat{x}(t)))$$

Error dynamics

$$\dot{e} = f(x, u) - f(\hat{x}, u) - L(t)(h(x) - h(\hat{x}))$$

Lemma

Consider the error dynamics and let $R \in S_{>0}^n$ and $Q \in S_{>0}^p$ be given. Additionally, for $P(t_0) = P_0 \in S_{>0}^n$, assume that the solution $P : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ of the Riccati differential equation exists for all $t \ge t_0$ and satisfies bounds for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then, for $L(t) = P(t)C(t)^TQ$ the origin is locally exponentially stable; i.e., there exist $\delta, \lambda, M > 0$ such that if $|e(t_0)| \le \delta$ then for all $t \ge t_0$,

 $|e(t)| \le M |e(t_0)| \exp(\lambda(t - t_0)).$

Note that:

- $\bullet~$ For nonlinear systems, only local exponential stability $\hat{x}(t) \rightarrow x(t)$ is satisfied
- Equations of the extended Kalman filter:

$$\begin{split} \dot{\hat{x}}(t) &= f(\hat{x}(t), u(t)) \\ &+ P(t) \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t))\right)^T Q(y(t) - h(\hat{x}(t))) \\ \dot{P}(t) &= P \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t), u(t))\right)^T + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t), u(t))\right) P \\ &- P \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t))\right)^T Q \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\hat{x}(t))\right) P + R^{-1} \end{split}$$

- Initial conditions: $\hat{x}(t_0) = \hat{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $P(t_0) = P_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{>0}^n$
- For given $u : \mathbb{R}_{\geq t_0} \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $y : \mathbb{R}_{\geq t_0} \to \mathbb{R}^p$, the solution provides an approximation of x(t) with guaranteed convergence $\hat{x}(t) \to x(t)$ for $t \to \infty$ if the assumptions of the Lemma are satisfied.
- The system of ODEs has to be solved in parallel. P(t) is symmetric, i.e., the matrix equation can be written as an ODE of dimension n(n + 1)/2.



Selection of the input

$$u(\hat{x}) = -\hat{x}_3(t) - \hat{x}_4(t).$$





Selection of the input

$$u(\hat{x}) = -\hat{x}_3(t) - \hat{x}_4(t).$$





Selection of the input

$$u(\hat{x}) = -\hat{x}_3(t) - \hat{x}_4(t).$$







C.M. Kellett & P. Braun (ANU)

Section 2

Extended Kalman Filter (Discrete Time)

Consider: Discrete time system

 $\begin{aligned} x(k+1) &= f(k,x(k)) + g(k,x(k))v(k) \\ y(k) &= h(k,x(k)) + w(k) \end{aligned}$

- state $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; measured output $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$; unknown disturbances/noise $(v(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^q$; $(w(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^p$
- $f: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, g = [g_1, \dots, g_q],$ $g_1, \dots, g_q: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ and } h: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ are continuously differentiable by assumption.

Note that:

• $(u(k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}^m$ can be incorporated through the time dependence, i.e.,

$$\begin{split} f(k,x(k)) &= \tilde{f}(x(k),u(k)), \; g(k,x(k)) = \tilde{g}(x(k),u(k)), \\ h(k,x(k)) &= \tilde{h}(x(k),u(k)), \end{split}$$

Consider: Discrete time system

$$\begin{split} x(k+1) &= f(k,x(k)) + g(k,x(k))v(k) \\ y(k) &= h(k,x(k)) + w(k) \end{split}$$

- state $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; measured output $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$; unknown disturbances/noise $(v(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^q$; $(w(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^p$
- $f: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $g = [g_1, \dots, g_q]$, $g_1, \dots, g_q: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, and $h: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ are continuously differentiable by assumption.

Note that:

• $(u(k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}^m$ can be incorporated through the time dependence, i.e.,

$$\begin{split} f(k,x(k)) &= \tilde{f}(x(k),u(k)), \; g(k,x(k)) = \tilde{g}(x(k),u(k)), \\ h(k,x(k)) &= \tilde{h}(x(k),u(k)), \end{split}$$

Assumptions: (zero mean Gaussian white noise)

 $orall j,k\in\mathbb{N}$ and $Q\in\mathcal{S}^q_{>0},$ $R\in\mathcal{S}^p_{>0}.$

$$\mathbf{E}\left[v(k)w(j)^{T}\right] = 0, \ \mathbf{E}\left[v(k)x_{0}^{T}\right] = 0, \ \mathbf{E}\left[w(k)x_{0}^{T}\right] = 0,$$

 $\forall j,k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all initial conditions $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Consider: Discrete time system

$$\begin{split} x(k+1) &= f(k,x(k)) + g(k,x(k))v(k) \\ y(k) &= h(k,x(k)) + w(k) \end{split}$$

- state $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; measured output $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$; unknown disturbances/noise $(v(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^q$; $(w(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^p$
- $f: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, g = [g_1, \dots, g_q],$ $g_1, \dots, g_q: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ and } h: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$ are continuously differentiable by assumption.

Note that:

• $(u(k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}^m$ can be incorporated through the time dependence, i.e.,

$$\begin{split} f(k,x(k)) &= \tilde{f}(x(k),u(k)), \; g(k,x(k)) = \tilde{g}(x(k),u(k)), \\ h(k,x(k)) &= \tilde{h}(x(k),u(k)), \end{split}$$

Assumptions: (zero mean Gaussian white noise)

$$\begin{aligned} \forall j,k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } Q \in \mathcal{S}_{>0}^{q}, R \in \mathcal{S}_{>0}^{p}. \\ & \mathrm{E}\left[v(k)w(j)^{T}\right] = 0, \ \mathrm{E}\left[v(k)x_{0}^{T}\right] = 0, \ \mathrm{E}\left[w(k)x_{0}^{T}\right] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

 $\forall j,k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all initial conditions $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Filter/Observer equations:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\chi}(k) &= f(k-1, \hat{x}(k-1)) \\ \hat{x}(k) &= \hat{\chi}(k) + G_k \left(y(k) - h(k, \hat{\chi}(k)) \right). \end{split}$$

Task:

• How to define the Kalman gain matrix G_k?

• Decompose x and y (stochastic/deterministic part)

$$\hat{x} = \hat{x}_d + \hat{x}_s, \qquad y = \hat{y}_d + \hat{y}_s$$

• Deterministic dynamics:

$$\hat{x}_d(k+1) = f(k, \hat{x}_d(k))$$

 $\hat{y}_d(k) = h(k, \hat{x}_d(k)).$

• Stochastic dynamics:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_s(k+1) &= \hat{x}(k+1) - \hat{x}_d(k+1) \\ &= f(k, \hat{x}_d(k) + \hat{x}_s(k)) + g(k, \hat{x}(k))\hat{v}(k) - f(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) \end{aligned}$$

• Decompose x and y (stochastic/deterministic part)

$$\hat{x} = \hat{x}_d + \hat{x}_s, \qquad y = \hat{y}_d + \hat{y}_s$$

• Deterministic dynamics:

$$\hat{x}_d(k+1) = f(k, \hat{x}_d(k))$$

 $\hat{y}_d(k) = h(k, \hat{x}_d(k)).$

• Stochastic dynamics:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_s(k+1) &= \hat{x}(k+1) - \hat{x}_d(k+1) \\ &= f(k, \hat{x}_d(k) + \hat{x}_s(k)) + g(k, \hat{x}(k))\hat{v}(k) - f(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) \end{aligned}$$

• First order Taylor approximation of $f(k, \cdot)$ around $\hat{x}_d(k)$:

$$\hat{x}_s(k+1) \approx \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}_d(k))\hat{x}_s(k) + g(k, \hat{x}(k))\hat{v}(k)$$

• Taylor approx. of $g(k, \cdot)$ around $\hat{x}_d(k)$ ($\hat{y}_s(k) = y(k) - \hat{y}_d(k)$):

$$\begin{split} \hat{y}_s(k) &\approx h(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) \hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k) - h(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) \\ &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) \hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k) \\ &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, f(k-1, \hat{x}_d(k-1)) \hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k) \end{split}$$

• Decompose x and y (stochastic/deterministic part)

$$\hat{x} = \hat{x}_d + \hat{x}_s, \qquad y = \hat{y}_d + \hat{y}_s$$

• Deterministic dynamics:

$$\begin{split} \hat{x}_d(k+1) &= f(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) \\ \hat{y}_d(k) &= h(k, \hat{x}_d(k)). \end{split}$$

- Stochastic dynamics:
 - $\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_s(k+1) &= \hat{x}(k+1) \hat{x}_d(k+1) \\ &= f(k, \hat{x}_d(k) + \hat{x}_s(k)) + g(k, \hat{x}(k))\hat{v}(k) f(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) \end{aligned}$
- First order Taylor approximation of $f(k, \cdot)$ around $\hat{x}_d(k)$:

$$\hat{x}_s(k+1) \approx \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}_d(k))\hat{x}_s(k) + g(k, \hat{x}(k))\hat{v}(k)$$

• Taylor approx. of $g(k, \cdot)$ around $\hat{x}_d(k)$ ($\hat{y}_s(k) = y(k) - \hat{y}_d(k)$):

$$\begin{split} \hat{y}_s(k) &\approx h(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) \hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k) - h(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) \\ &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) \hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k) \\ &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, f(k-1, \hat{x}_d(k-1)) \hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k)) \end{split}$$

- With $\hat{x}_s(k)$ and $\hat{y}_s(k)$, we define A, \overline{B} , C: $A(k) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}(k)), \quad \overline{B}(k) = g(k, \hat{x}(k))$ $C(k) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, \hat{\chi}(k))$
- → Matrices define a linear time varying system

• Decompose x and y (stochastic/deterministic part)

$$\hat{x} = \hat{x}_d + \hat{x}_s, \qquad y = \hat{y}_d + \hat{y}_s$$

Deterministic dynamics:

$$\begin{split} \hat{x}_d(k+1) &= f(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) \\ \hat{y}_d(k) &= h(k, \hat{x}_d(k)). \end{split}$$

• Stochastic dynamics:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_s(k+1) &= \hat{x}(k+1) - \hat{x}_d(k+1) \\ &= f(k, \hat{x}_d(k) + \hat{x}_s(k)) + g(k, \hat{x}(k)) \hat{v}(k) - f(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) \end{aligned}$$

• First order Taylor approximation of $f(k, \cdot)$ around $\hat{x}_d(k)$:

 $\hat{x}_s(k+1)\approx \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(k,\hat{x}_d(k))\hat{x}_s(k)+g(k,\hat{x}(k))\hat{v}(k)$

• Taylor approx. of $g(k, \cdot)$ around $\hat{x}_d(k)$ ($\hat{y}_s(k) = y(k) - \hat{y}_d(k)$):

 $\hat{y}_s(k) \approx h(k, \hat{x}_d(k)) + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}_d(k))\hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k) - h(k, \hat{x}_d(k))$ $= \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}_d(k))\hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k)$ $= \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, f(k-1, \hat{x}_d(k-1))\hat{x}_s(k) + \hat{w}(k)$

- With $\hat{x}_s(k)$ and $\hat{y}_s(k)$, we define A, \bar{B} , C: $A(k) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(k, \hat{x}(k)), \quad \bar{B}(k) = g(k, \hat{x}(k))$ $C(k) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(k, \hat{\chi}(k))$
- → Matrices define a linear time varying system
- We adapt the equations of the linear Kalman filter:

$$\begin{split} P_k^{k-1} &= \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (k-1, \hat{x}(k-1)) \right] P_{k-1} \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} (k-1, \hat{x}(k-1)) \right]^T \\ &+ g(k-1, \hat{x}(k-1)) Q^{-1} g(k-1, \hat{x}(k-1))^T \\ G_k &= P_k k - 1 \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} (k, \hat{\chi}(k)) \right]^T \\ &\cdot \left(\left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} (k, \hat{\chi}(k)) \right] P_k^{k-1} \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} (k, \hat{\chi}(k)) \right]^T + R^{-1} \right)^{-1} \\ P_k &= \left(I - G_k \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} (k, \hat{\chi}(k)) \right] \right) P_k^{k-1} \end{split}$$

Extended Kalman Filter (3)

Input: Discrete time system with output, positive definite weight matrices $Q^{-1} = \operatorname{Var}(v(k)), R^{-1} = \operatorname{Var}(w(k))$. and initial estimates $\hat{x}(0) = \hat{x}_0, P_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{>0}^n$. **Output:** Estimates $\hat{x}(k)$ and $\hat{\chi}(k)$ of the state x(k) for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. **Algorithm:** For $k \in \mathbb{N}$: Compute $\hat{\mathbf{y}}(k) = f(k-1, \hat{x}(k-1))$ and $A(k-1) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}(k-1, \hat{x}(k-1)), \qquad \bar{B}(k-1) = g(k-1, \hat{x}(k-1)), \qquad C(k) = \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}(k, \hat{\chi}(k)).$ Opdate the gain matrix $P_{k}^{k-1} = A(k-1)P_{k-1}A(k-1)^{T} + \bar{B}(k-1)Q^{-1}\bar{B}(k-1)^{T}.$ $G_{k} = P_{k}^{k-1} C(k)^{T} \left[C(k) P_{k}^{k-1} C(k)^{T} + R^{-1} \right]^{-1},$ $P_k = [I - G_k C(k)] P_k^{k-1}.$

Image Measure the output y(k) and update the state estimate

$$\hat{x}(k) = \hat{\chi}(k) + G_k \left(y(k) - h(k, \hat{\chi}(k)) \right)$$

set k = k + 1 and go to step 1.

Section 3

Moving Horizon Estimation

Moving Horizon Estimation

Recall:

- The dual to LQR is MME (Minimum energy estimator)
- We have discussed MPC as an extension of LQR
- The dual to MPC is MHE (Moving Horizon Estimation) Consider:

 $\begin{aligned} x(k+1) &= f(k, x(k), v(k)) \\ y(k) &= h(k, x(k)) + w(k) \end{aligned}$

- State $x \in \mathbb{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$; measured output $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$; $(v(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^q$ and unknown disturbances/noise $(w(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{W} \subset \mathbb{R}^p$
- Constraints: X, V, W
- (Inputs u(k) can be included as before)
- Goal: Based on measured data y(k), find "optimal" $\hat{v}(k), \ \hat{w}(k)$ such that

$$\begin{split} \hat{x}(k+1) &= f(k, \hat{x}(k), \hat{v}(k)), \\ y(k) &= h(k, \hat{x}(k)) + \hat{w}(k). \end{split}$$

- \rightsquigarrow optimal state estimates $\hat{x}(k)$
- $\bullet \ \ \text{Define} \ \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{W}$

• At time $k \in N$, for given y(i) for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{[k-\bar{N}, k-1]}$, define the set of feasible disturbance trajectories

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\bar{N}} = \left\{ v_{\bar{N}} : \mathbb{Z}_{[k-\bar{N},k-1]} \to \mathbb{R}^{q} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \hat{x}(i+1) = f(i,\hat{x}(i),v(i)) \\ y(i) = h(i,\hat{x}(i)) + w(i) \\ (\hat{x}(i+1),v(i),w(i)) \in \mathbb{D} \\ \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_{[k-\bar{N},k-1]} \end{array} \right\}$$

(Note that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{D}}^{ar{N}}=\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{D}}^{ar{N}}(k,y_{ar{N}})$ depends on k)

- Cost function: $\bar{J}_{\bar{N}} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{D}}^N \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\},$ $\bar{J}_{\bar{N}}(\hat{x}(k-\bar{N}), v_{\bar{N}}(\cdot); y_{\bar{N}}(\cdot))$ $= F_{\bar{N}}(\bar{x}(k-\bar{N})) + \sum_{i=k-N}^{k-1} \ell(v(i), y(i) - h(i, \hat{x}(i)))$
- For given $\hat{x}(k-\bar{N}), v(\cdot)$ and $y(\cdot), \hat{x}(\cdot)$ and $w(\cdot)$ are implicitly defined through the dynamics.
- Costs with respect to disturbance $\ell : \mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}$;
- Costs with respect to estimate of the state $F_{\bar{N}}:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ ('terminal costs')

Moving Horizon Estimation (2)

Moving horizon optimization problem:

$$\begin{split} \bar{V}_{\bar{N}}(k, y_{\bar{N}}(\cdot)) &= \min_{\substack{v_{\bar{N}}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\bar{N}} \\ \hat{x}(k-\bar{N}) \in \mathbb{X} \\ }} \bar{J}_{\bar{N}}(\hat{x}(k-\bar{N}), v_{\bar{N}}(\cdot); y_{\bar{N}}(\cdot)) \\ &\text{subject to } \hat{x}(k+1) = f(k, \hat{x}(k), \hat{v}(k)) \end{split}$$

Note that:

- Optimal $\hat{v}_{\bar{N}}(\cdot)$ and optimal state estimate $\hat{x}(k-\bar{N})$ can be obtained from the solution
- Optimality is achieved w.r.t. a particular cost function.
- From $\hat{v}_{\bar{N}}(\cdot)$ and $\hat{x}(k-\bar{N})$ we obtain $\hat{x}(k)$
- The estimate $\hat{x}(k)$ can be used to design a state feedback $\mu(k) = u(\hat{x}(k))$ through MPC, for example.
- Similar to MPC, after shifting the horizon by going from k to k + 1, the shifted optimization problem can be solved at the next time step to obtain $\hat{x}(k + 1)$.

Introduction to Nonlinear Control

Stability, control design, and estimation

Christopher M. Kellett & Philipp Braun School of Engineering, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

Part IV:

Chapter 17: The Extended Kalman Filter 17.1 Extended Kalman Filter (Continuous Time) 17.2 Extended Kalman Filter (Discrete Time) 17.3 Moving Horizon Estimation

